

Patrick H. Merrick, Esq.
Director – Regulatory Affairs
AT&T Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000 1120 20th Street NW Washington DC 20036 202 457 3815 FAX 202 457 3110

December 16, 2003

Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, S.W., Room TW-B204 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of *Ex Parte* Presentation: CC Docket Nos. 93-193, 94-65.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On December 11, 2003, David Lawson of Sidley Austin Brown and Wood, LLP, representing AT&T and I met with Deena Shetler and Irshad Abdal-Haqq to discuss its comments and reply comments in the above mentioned dockets. AT&T reiterated its position that the FCC has definitely established that add-back is the only reasonable and correct method of calculating a carrier's sharing and low-end adjustments and that there is no reasonable basis for a rule that would permit carriers to apply add-back when a company has taken a low-end adjustment but not when it has incurred a sharing obligation. In addition, the Commission need not apply its 1995 add-back rule retroactively; these tariff investigations are themselves rulemakings and the Commission is fully authorized to establish a rule "of particular applicability" to these tariffs in these investigations. The Commission suspended **all** of the LEC's tariffs (those that applied add-back and those that did not), precisely because its stated purpose in these proceedings was to consider and adopt a consistent rule to be applied to all tariffs. Clearly, that rule should be an across-the-board application of add-back consistent with its later finding the separate 1995 rulemaking.

The positions expressed by AT&T were consistent with those expressed in their previous filings in the above referenced proceedings.

Consistent with the Commission rules, I am filing one electronic copy of this notice and request that you place it in the record of the proceedings.

Sincerely,

cc: Deena Shetler Irshad Abdal-Haqq